

Consultee Ref	Comment Number	Type of Response	Nature of Response	Comment	Officer View and Recommendations
1	1	Email	Support	The writer is in favour of designating the area a conservation area and wish to preserve and protect it from further overdevelopment and the loss of original features.	Noted
2	2	Email	Support	This designation will help to preserve the positive characteristics of the area with its strong sense of place, high quality building materials, and its plan which creates rhythm and unity of design. This designation is also especially relevant given that there are 7 locally listed buildings within the proposed Conservation Area. The designation will help to preserve the history of our town.	Noted
3	3	Email	Support	These streets are a compact and cohesive oasis of late Victorian domestic architecture. Many of the houses demonstrate a degree of ornamentation and original decorative features not present to the same degree in other Victorian streets in Horsham. The houses are at least 125 years old and, as time goes by, the distinctiveness, character and heritage value of such buildings is more widely recognised. This, coupled with the development pressure which is inevitable in such a central location (manifested most recently by application DC/21/2076 - for the demolition and redevelopment of 2 Norfolk Road and 76 to 78 Park Street) underlines the pressing need to secure the designation of our neighbourhood as a Conservation Area under section 69 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. A petition, asking relevant households and premises in the Norfolk Square area if they agreed with the proposal, was carried by 90.54%. In addition to the strong support of a high proportion of local residents, designation is supported by Denne Neighbourhood Council and the Horsham Society.	Noted
4	4	Email	Support	This preservation will preserve the integrity of the socio-economic historical narrative of the development of Horsham as a growing town. From small market town to an ever-growing commuter town. It will preserve the positive characteristics of the area with its strong sense of place, high quality building materials and it's design which creates rhythm and architectural unity. This area charts the rise of the skilled middle classes, who, in part were able to pave the way for the town to become what it is today. This historical picture needs to be preserved and maintained against the growing trend and pressure on 'out with the old and in with the many'. This conservation order not only protects and preserves this area but it supports the integrity of Horsham Town both past and present.	Noted
5	5	Email	Support	An excellent initiative and will help protect a very cohesive community from the increasing threat of potentially highly destructive modern	Noted

				redevelopment, the reality of which manifested itself in the recent planning application DC/21/2076.	
5	6	Email	Observation	The writer questions why Park Terrace West has not been included within the proposed conservation area. House on the corner of East Street and Park Terrace West is historically important.	It is acknowledged that the properties on the corner of East Street and Park Terrace West are considered to be non-designated heritage assets and are recognised as such within the Horsham Town Local List. The inclusion of sites within a conservation area requires robust justification as the area need to be of special historic and architectural interest not just specific buildings. In this instance although the buildings within Park Terrace West were constructed earlier than those within Bartelot Road, and Chichester and Norfolk Terrace the buildings have undergone a greater quantum of change which have lessened the historical quality of the buildings. Local planning authorities need to ensure that the area has sufficient special architectural or historic interest to justify its designation as a conservation area. The judgement was taken that the architectural and historic features within Norfolk Terrace, Norfolk Road, Chichester Terrace and Bartelot Road exhibited a greater consistency and quality of features representing a positive example of Victorian urban expansion.
5	7	Email	Observation	Included in the proposed area are two semi-detached houses that still in the process of being built on the site of what was Norfolk Lodge, yet the two semi-detached houses immediately opposite them on Chichester Terrace (1 & 2 Gundry's House) have not been included. Odd, because Gundry's House was built in the 1990's and therefore predates the not yet completed new buildings by a good thirty years. Even allowing for context of the street scene this seems like a slight aberration.	Noted the land to the rear of 9 Norfolk Road was included within the proposed conservation area as it forms part of the curtilage of 9 Norfolk Road with a continuation of the low brick wall, with a clear change in scale with the rear elevation of Sussex House and The Capitol. The properties of Gundry House were excluded from the proposed conservation area as the rhythm of development changes on this side of the road.
5	8	Email	Observation	Perhaps the inclusion of Park Terrace West, including the house on the corner, and Gundry's House, is something that could be looked at as an extension to the proposed Conservation Area at a not too later date, as it is important to ensure that primarily the Park Terrace Gardens Conservation Area proposal, as it stands at the moment, is enacted as quick as possible.	Noted.
6	9	Email	Objection	The writer notes that an office building that was only built in 1989 should not be included in the proposed Conversation Area.	Noted – after further consideration the property has been removed from the conservation area, as it is considered that the relocation of the boundary better reflects the special historic and architectural character of the area.
7 – joint objection from 4 people	10	Email	Objection	The writers question whether it is viable to impose a conservation area on the locality. Within the area there are a few preserved properties that are examples of the area when it was first constructed. There are however some properties that have been rebuilt or altered dramatically and not in keeping with the Victorian design. There are also many	The designation of a conservation area is undertaken where a local planning authority consider an area has special architectural or historic interest the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. It is recognised that some properties may have lost some original features

				<p>properties that whilst have evidence of the original features have not been maintained, and so would need a huge investment to refurbish them to the original features. Many properties within this area have already been affected by the key threats stated as, loss of traditional joinery, roofing materials and insensitive extensions. Many have UPVC windows installed, and roofs have been converted to clay tiles differing from their original materials as stated in the proposal. It appears the proposal is based on a 'rose tinted glasses' approach where only the good points are seen and the negative aspects already present have been brushed over.</p>	<p>however as a whole it is considered that the proposed conservation area has special architectural or historic interest. The proposed management plan seeks to inform and proactively encourage the retention of or reinstatement of traditional features when work is considered.</p>
7	11	Email	Objection	<p>The writer questions that if a Conservation area is necessary then why it would not include Park Terrace West and the historic Arches by the iron bridge that boarder the current boundary proposal as they are older, and so also hold a historic value.</p>	<p>The properties on the corner of East Street and Park Terrace West are non-designated heritage assets and recognised as such within the Horsham Town Local List. The inclusion of sites within a conservation area requires robust justification as the area need to be of special historic and architectural interest not just specific buildings. In this instance although the buildings within Park Terrace West were constructed earlier than those within Barttelot Road, and Chichester and Norfolk Terrace the buildings have undergone a greater quantum of change which have lessened the historical quality of the buildings. Local planning authorities need to ensure that the area has sufficient special architectural or historic interest to justify its designation as a conservation area. The judgement was taken that the architectural and historic features within Norfolk Terrace, Norfolk Road, Chichester Terrace and Barttelot Road exhibited a greater consistency and quality of features representing a positive example of Victorian urban expansion.</p>
7	12	Email	Objection	<p>Park Street doesn't meet the key positive characteristics of the conservation area –the street pattern does not create a strong sense of place. Park Street itself is now a completely different road to when the houses were built. In the 1980's and 1990's this part of Horsham was redesigned to allow for the construction of the Sun Alliance building. As a result Park Street was cut in half and a new wider road system with a dual carriage way connecting Park street to the Brighton road was installed changing the direction of the original road. The has changed the feel of Park Street as mentioned in the proposal document that the difference in road usage is noticeable between the tranquil one way system running up Wellington Road and out in Norfolk Road to the bustle of the vehicular route on the redirected Park Street. There are multiple road signs on Park Street and several that are over a meter in size as shown in the proposal and in picture below that although are necessary for the road usage, detract from a conservation</p>	<p>Noted – the conservation area boundary has been amended. The NPPF is clear that in designating conservation areas Local Authorities should ensure that an area justifies such status because of its special architectural or historic interest. After careful consideration it is considered on balance that the buildings 62 – 76 (evens) Park Street have fewer notable features that reflect and define the qualities of the core of the conservation area, than the central areas of Norfolk Terrace and Chichester Terrace for example. The local authority therefore reviewed whether this area is of sufficient quality to reflect the importance of the conservation area, and that the designation of the conservation area boundary is not prompted to include areas which do not have the requisite special interest but may be vulnerable to redevelopment.</p>

				<p>feel. Together with the addition of a traffic light junction and the 5 story Royal Sun Alliance building that dominates the landscape.</p>	<p>It is recognised that the buildings in Park Street are important historically in contributing to the 'setting' of the proposed conservation area and its relationship to the town centre. The buildings provide evidence of the evolution of the area with changes to the form and appearance of the buildings providing a visual historical narrative. However, it is not considered that these elements would on balance be of such a quality that they could be considered to have special historic and architectural interest in themselves. It is suggested that the area gains its importance in providing a transition between the identified qualities of the conservation area and its wider setting.</p>
7	13	Email	Objection	<p>Park Street doesn't meet the key positive characteristics of the conservation area –76 and 74 and 72 Park Street started out life as a houses, they all were gradually adapted several times over the years. The current window formats for all were only erected since the 1960's but were originally modified before that time from their original construction for their use at that time. 76 Used to be a Bakery and its current design was modified since then to make it into offices. 74 was completely altered to an aluminium frame in the last 15 years to move the front door to allow for a flat on the first floor. 72 had its signs updated in the 90's.</p>	<p>As above.</p>
7	14	Email	Objection	<p>The building that stood on the corner of Park Street and Wellington Road was demolished in order to convert it into a motor vehicle showroom with additional buildings constructed in the 1970's. In more recent years this has closed and now become an Enterprise car rental site. When it changed over some of the motor vehicle buildings were also demolished and a covered washdown bay was created. All these commercial fronts have installed plastic / metal vinyl signage and advertising boards as at the top of 72 and the sign shown above for Enterprise's entrance.</p> <p>The gardens of 72 and 74, together with the rear of 70 were completely developed with construction of new outbuildings covered with corrugated roofs in the 80's.</p> <p>The garage / workshop that existed in Wellington Road was removed approximately 15 years ago to allow for additional loading / unloading. This now has a large metal roller shutter.</p> <p>This development of the gardens and wellington road workshop in the last 40 years is not reflected in the building phases map as it is a</p>	<p>Noted – as above Building phases map shows the approximate phase of the principal building,.</p>

				<p>significant development that should be taken into account when selecting the appropriate Conservation area.</p> <p>During the construction of the rerouting of the roads the pavements in park street were all replaced making them a different material on the pavement itself and kerbing to the rest of the proposed conservation area. The frontage to Enterprise cars and the houses beyond also have had the road and pavement lowered to their original design with the creation of a new wall.</p> <p>The old gardens of 76 and 78 Park street have been completely tarmacked over as many years ago the owner used to repair cars in the garden, removing the original garden walls. 78 Park street garden yards were only created in the last 10 years when the property was refurbished.</p> <p>The row of properties next to Enterprise now have a car park in place of the original gardens that were there.</p> <p>Many of the original features that add to the character of this proposal have been lost from Park street. Most houses in Park street no longer have their original chimneys, barge boards or original front walls.</p> <p>Park Street properties do not consistently have the same features within the other areas within the conservation area.</p>	
7	15	Email	Objection	<p>Park Street doesn't meet the key positive characteristics of the conservation area –All properties in Park Street have been converted to UPVC windows and many have replaced the gutters / fascias and soffit's and doors with UPVC.</p> <p>The extensions and conversions to the gardens along Park Street do not use a similar palette or high quality materials as they use corrugated steel and tarmac. This would then lead to the question of how to maintain them appropriately within the conservation area.</p> <p>70 Park street although built at a similar time has different features that are not in keeping with the palette of the rest of the properties in the conservation area</p>	Noted – as above.
7	16	Email	Objection	<p>The Conservation area may be restraining to the non-residential premises currently in Park Street. Enterprise, Ballard & Shorthall, Richmand Fellowship, Horace Fuller and Certax Accounting. Horace Fuller has survived though its ability to adapt and change the usage of its property over time.</p> <p>Each change in direction for the business required the property to adapt to this new challenge and restricting this ability may cause a business that has successfully traded from this site for 100 years.</p>	Noted – as above.

				<p>Enterprise cars plot has already changed dramatically form when it was a car dealership adapting to its new business venture.</p> <p>The potential restrictions on demolition of buildings and types of advertisements could prove to be detrimental to the non-residential properties in the future. This could lead to either conversion of these properties to residential or them being left empty and going into disrepair, neither option necessarily retaining its original character.</p>	
7	17	Email	Objection	<p>The writer objects to the Conservation area as its historic value has been unmaintained for too many years and costs to repair the original features would be unrealistic for a vast number of properties.</p> <p>If however this Conservation area is deemed appropriate this document is to show that Park Street has already changed beyond its original Victorian construction and character making it almost impossible to determine which era it should be adhering to and thus preserve. Due to the sheer number of negative elements in Park Street and that it does not follow the feel and Characteristics of the rest of the proposal it would seem unnecessary to include it within the plan and the boundary should be altered to accommodate this as below.</p>	<p>Noted however it is often the historic features of an area that adds to amenity and can make areas more attractive for prospective occupiers. With regards to features such as windows, traditional windows can often be simply and economically repaired, usually at a cost significantly less than replacement. For timber windows this is largely due to the high quality and durability of the timber that was used in the past (generally pre-1919) to make windows. Properly maintained, old timber windows can enjoy extremely long lives. The whole-life environmental costs of replacement will be much greater than simply refurbishing. It will take many years before savings on heating offset the large amounts of energy used to make PVC-u windows in the first place. Repairing traditional windows rather than replacing them is not only more sustainable but makes better economic sense, particularly when the use of shutters or secondary glazing to improve their thermal performance is taken into account.</p> <p>The comments relating to Park Street are considered above.</p>
8	18	Email	Observation	<p>The road Park Terrace as no such road exist with in Horsham and neither Park Terrace West or Park Terrace East (actual roads) are part of the proposed area. I propose that the name be simplified to Park Gardens to avoid confusion.</p>	<p>Noted see report regarding the name of the Conservation Area.</p>
8	19	Email	Observation	<p>The report fails to include that the rear of Barttelot Road has a dual carriage way running passed and is now overlooked by Burtons Court and a recently extended upward carpark which now allows the general public to gawk at residents, blighting the enjoyment of their gardens. The front gardens of Barttelot road were once boarded by iron railings (not walls), these were removed for the war effort. One point in your report is incorrect, Barttelot road was still a through road between Park Street and East Street in to late 1980's.</p>	<p>Noted amendments made to report.</p>
8	20	Email	Objection	<p>The writer has great concerns that renovation costs will be double or tripled as these items will have to be bespoke and made to order. In the report it states that the council has access to tools to force owners.</p>	<p>Noted – however the words are prefaced by the words “if necessary” and refers to those buildings that are causing a local nuisance or are designated heritage assets which are not</p>

				<p>These words are inflammatory considering most of the owners of these houses have been preserving the special features for many years before coming to HDC attention.</p> <p>Due to the additional costs involved of making us a conservation area the writer would like to see HDC offering residents help in the form of grants rather than just concentrating on forcing residents who now find themselves owning a house in a conservation area when, originally HDC might compulsory purchase for demolition!</p> <p>A number of residents have expressed a wish to put back the railings. They would need to be bespoke made which makes the costs prohibited even if they are commissioned to make by several people.</p>	<p>appropriately repaired or maintained. Enforcement action would only be taken where it is a last resort and it is expedient to do so. It should also be noted that powers under S215 of the Town and Country Planning Act enable an LPA to take action where it considered that the amenity of their area is adversely affected by the condition of the land whether the site is within a conservation area of not.</p> <p>The reinstatement of railings under 1 metre in height would not require planning permission, however it would be strongly suggested that the railings are of a material and design which reflect the traditional appearance of the buildings that they serve.</p>
8	21	Email	Objection/ query	<p>The government is prompting the use of green energy and will shortly ban the sale of gas boilers in favour of heat pumps, to encourage fitting of solar energy, to insulate houses and buy electric cars. (White paper Ref: December 2020 CP 337) How will the designation of a conservation area fit in with the governments proposals. At the moment the designation will prevent the installation of car charging points, and result in refusal of solar panels, the refusal of double glazing unless bespoke which will prevent the effectiveness of the working of heat pump.</p>	<p>Class D and E of the GDPO refers to electrical outlets for recharging vehicles. These would be permitted development (PD) within the conservation area provided they meet the criteria of class D1, E1 and conditions D2 and E3. Solar panels would also be permitted development provided the proposal met all the requirements of Part 14, Class A of the GDPO. Permission would be required where the solar panel would be installed on a wall which fronts the highway. Ground source heat pumps are also PD. The justification for the retention of original windows has been set out above. Slim line double glazing within timber frames that match in all respects the original window may also be appropriate in the conservation area, with each situation being determined on its own merits. Timber windows in comparison to UPVCu windows have a lower carbon footprint and will when maintained have a longer lifespan which supports the climate agenda.</p>
8	22	Email	Observation	<p>The writer would like to propose a public meeting of residents to discuss our concerns and your solutions.</p>	<p>A meeting took place on the 25 May. The Conservation Area designation was also discussed at the neighbourhood council's meeting.</p>
9	23	Email	Objection	<p>The conservation area would be detrimental to homeowners due to loss of house value as prospective buyers would be looking to extend and add potential alterations to improve the property for a more modern lifestyle.</p>	<p>Research by Historic England and the London School of Economics and Political Science have indicated that people value conservation areas for their distinctiveness, visual appeal and historic character. This value is reflected in the price of properties in conservation areas. Generally, they cost more and appreciate in price more than properties in other areas, even after adjusting for location and other factors.</p>
9	24	Email	Objection	<p>The writer intended to enlarge their home both appropriately and sympathetically so it can be adapted to their lifestyle and family size</p>	<p>Extensions under permitted development can still be undertaken to properties within the conservation area</p>

				however the restrictions imposed by the conservation area will not allow this. As a result, the writer would now have to consider selling and moving into another larger premises outside or a property with prospects to extend.	depending on their size and location. Being within a conservation area does not preclude sensitive extensions where they preserve or enhance the special historic and architectural interest of the locality.
9	25	Email	Objection	All of the properties in the terrace have little original external features, just as an example only one of the houses still has timber windows and one other property has the original cast iron downpipes and gutters. Similarly, many properties in neighbouring terraces already have loft conversions. The writer is struggling to understand what this will preserve; it is far too late.	The designation of a conservation area is undertaken where a local planning authority consider an area has special architectural or historic interest the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. It is recognised that some properties may have lost some original features however as a whole it is considered that the proposed conservation area has special architectural or historic interest. The proposed management plan seeks to inform and proactively encourage the retention of or reinstatement of traditional features when work is considered.
9	26	Email	Objection	It is hypocritical that a large amount of Barttelot Road including the old police station buildings were converted into smaller dwellings without restrictions on appearance.	The old police station buildings of Peel house have been designated as locally listed buildings. Properties which contain flats do not benefit from permitted development rights so works that materially alter the appearance of the building would require planning permission.
9	27	Email	Objection	<p>The writer notes that it is a strange omission that the site where Majestic Wine House and Pets at Home Operate are outside of the proposed conservation area. The writer notes that the commercial leases are not to be renewed and they are aware of the potential site value for a developer. If this is developed into multiple property units is will have a significant impact on the road and its appearance, despite this a conscious decision has been made to exclude this site which would be a mockery of this proposal and what it actually is supposed to stand for.</p> <p>Equal to the above Barttelot Road is available for paid parking and the council benefits greatly from this income due to the popularity of on street parking for those looking to secure a cheaper alternative to your town/central parking. It has got to the point that residents are not able to park on their own street and are receiving numerous fines. This is another example of how the council are exploiting its residents for its own financial gain.</p>	The creation of boundaries to a conservation area is a careful balance to ensure that an area justifies such status because of its special architectural or historic interest, and that the concept of conservation is not devalued through the designation of areas that lack special interest. It was considered that the site of Majestic Wine and Pets at Home as it is on the periphery of the character area would form an understandable boundary to the conservation area. If the site was to be put forward for development it would be within the setting of the conservation area and therefore its design, form, scale and use would be required to consider the impact of the proposal on the special historic and architectural interest of the conservation area.
9	28	Email	Objection	<p>The writer feels the consultation is misleading and does not clearly represent the repercussions if approved on residents and the future in their properties.</p> <p>In summary the consultation letter alludes to additional process and cost on what would ordinarily be considered permitted developments. What it does not make clear is the extent and likelihood of many alterations or improvements particular extensions are rejected in most cases.</p>	Extensions under permitted development can still be undertaken to properties within the conservation area depending on their size and location. Being within a conservation area does not preclude sensitive extensions where they preserve or enhance the special historic and architectural interest of the locality.

10	29	Email	Support	<p>These streets are a compact and cohesive oasis of late Victorian domestic architecture. Many of the houses demonstrate a degree of ornamentation and original decorative features not present to the same degree in other Victorian streets in Horsham. The houses are at least 125 years old and, as time goes by, the distinctiveness, character and heritage value of such buildings is more widely recognised. This, coupled with the development pressure which is inevitable in such a central location (manifested most recently by application DC/21/2076 - for the demolition and redevelopment of 2 Norfolk Road and 76 to 78 Park Street) underlines the pressing need to secure the designation of our neighbourhood as a Conservation Area under section 69 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. A petition, asking relevant households and premises in the Norfolk Square area if they agreed with the proposal, was carried by 90.54%. In addition to the strong support of a high proportion of local residents, designation is supported by Denne Neighbourhood Council and the Horsham Society.</p>	Noted
11	30	Email	Support	<p>The writer consider that the positive characteristics summarised on page 5 including reference to 'a strong sense of place, the range of buildings that have been little altered since the time of their construction and the feeling of unity in terms of design within the area are all important and very much worth retaining.</p> <p>The consultation document is very helpful and the writer strongly supports the designation of the area referred to as 'Park Terrace Gardens' as a Conservation Area.</p>	Noted.
12	31	Email	Support	<p>The writer is proud of the distinct ornamentation and original features on many of our houses. We strive to maintain our unique structure — not always easy in the face of attempts and wishes to redevelop. We are strong as a community—witness the recent protests against application DC/21/2076 (the redevelopment of No2 Norfolk Rd and 76-78 Park Street) and we seek further reassurance that the character of 'Park Terrace Gardens' will remain unchanged.</p> <p>Over 90% of households canvassed recently in Norfolk Sq were in favour of conservation status.</p> <p>Local groups , such as the Horsham Society and Denne Neighbourhood Council are in favour of this application.</p>	Noted.
13	32	Email	Support	<p>This designation will help to preserve the positive characteristics of the area with its strong sense of place, high quality building materials, and its plan which creates rhythm and unity of design. This designation is also especially relevant given that there are 7 locally listed buildings</p>	Noted.

				within the proposed Conservation Area. The designation will help to preserve the history of our town.	
14	33	Email	Support	The writer has been resident in this area for the past 15 years and would very much like to see the character and features of the properties maintained for many years to come. The proximity of the area to the centre of town make it an appealing option for new development but some of this development recently have not been sympathetic to the character of the area. A conservation status would act as counterbalance to such development.	Noted.
15	34	Email	Support	The writer fully supports the plans for the Conservation Area for Norfolk Square and Park Terrace Gardens. It will clearly be beneficial for the local community, by enhancing neighbourhood communications, fostering a shared sense of responsibility for the upkeep and appearance of our special housing and developing further respect for our historical heritage. In the wider community it will set a tone for more awareness of the uniqueness of these areas that do still exist, but that are under increasing threat of possibly ill considered development.	Noted.
16	35	Email	Objection	The writer is a renter of a property and whilst conservation areas are beneficial to homeowners, they can be detrimental to renters or potential buyers and first-time buyers. Horsham is an already an increasingly expensive place to live, let alone buy or rent a property. A conservation area will likely increase the already inflated rental value of properties within not only the conservation area, but those in close range of the town centre. Having increased property values only serves to benefit those selling or letting a property and places restrictions on those renting or making potential buyers (especially first-time buyers) unable to afford properties in an already increasingly difficult property market.	Noted. Concerns have also been raised by residents that the conservation area status may result in a loss of value of properties within the conservation area, although research by Historic England does suggest that people value conservation areas for their distinctiveness, visual appeal and historic character. This value is reflected in the price of properties in conservation areas. The designation of a conservation area is considered by Local Planning Authorities only where there are areas identified as having special architectural or historic interest the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance.
16	36	Email	Objection	Whilst the majority of buildings are built pre 1898, many of the original characteristics have been already been changed or completely lost. For example: - The large majority of sash windows have already been replaced with non-traditional plastic windows in a bid to be more energy efficient. In our case, our own building only contains 1 original window and door, however the glass in the door has been replaced with plastic. - There are also a fair few modern extensions, which, if built under a conservation area would unlikely to have been approved in their current design.	Noted. The designation of a conservation area is undertaken where a local planning authority consider an area has special architectural or historic interest the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. It is recognised that some properties may have lost some original features however as a whole it is considered that the proposed conservation area has special architectural or historic interest. The proposed management plan seeks to inform and proactively encourage the retention of or reinstatement of traditional features when work is considered. The buildings provide evidence of the evolution of the area with changes to the form and appearance of the buildings enabling new uses. The designation of a conservation area does not

				<p>- There are commercial properties on Park Terrace, which currently have a very rundown appearance and take away from the design of the area.</p> <p>Making the above buildings protected under a conservation area seems to serve the opposite of the conservation area's intended purpose.</p> <p>There is also a new development currently underway in Chichester Terrace which would also fall under the conservation area, despite not being an original building with distinctive features etc.</p> <p>A good example of a residential street within a conservation area and with benefit to the town is the Causeway as this is right in the town centre and has a distinct character and appearance with many original buildings and features still intact.</p> <p>The Park Terrace Gardens area is partly commercial and out of the way from the town centre, its buildings are largely modernised, its houses whilst having 1 or 2 distinctive features are not comparable in appearance or historical significance to that of the Causeway.</p> <p>Having the area preserved would only benefit current property owners, preserve already modern features and buildings, and not preserve the overall history or look of the town as in the case of the Causeway.</p>	<p>prevent change and seeks to preserve and enhance those elements that contribute to the localities special historic and architectural interest. Paragraph 206 of the NPPF identifies that local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably. Paragraph 207 also notes that not all elements of a Conservation Area will necessarily contribute to its significance.</p> <p>The Causeway represents the traditional view of a conservation area. This understanding has progressed as people have become to value the importance of preserving buildings and areas that provide evidence of the development, and growth of our towns post the seventeenth/eighteenth century. The designation of conservation areas provides the opportunity to ensure that as time progresses and our appreciation of buildings of historic and architectural interest expands those areas are reconsidered or identified where in the past they may have been considered too new or too commonplace. Buildings of the nineteenth and twentieth century are often the most undervalued and vulnerable and it is important to recognise that this irreplaceable resource make a contribution to our experience of place. It is interesting to note that the writer Ian Nairn when writing about Petworth valued for its traditional buildings suggested that it was the mixture of buildings that contributed to its significance "good buildings of all dates mixing perfectly at least up to 1920. What it now needs a few really good but unequivocally modern buildings." * The Buildings of England Sussex – Nairn I and Pevsner N Yale University Press 1965. P.294</p> <p>It is clear within the document that conservation area designation does not prevent development but seeks to ensure that where it takes place it makes a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness. This is further underlined within the NPPF which requires all development irrespective of whether it is within a conservation area to be well designed.</p>
16	37	Email	Objection	<p>The writer has a tree on their doorstep, as well as directly across the street and within our immediate neighbours garden. The trees currently go into the power lines above and so regular work is needed so as to not obstruct not only the powerlines, but to prevent them from encroaching on our immediate neighbours guttering etc.</p>	<p>The designation of a conservation area would not impact on the need for requests to landlords for work to trees.</p> <p>Where work is required by statutory undertakers it is often exempt to the need to give notice.</p>

				<p>As renters, the writer is required to request any works being done via the estate agent; adding a 6 week notice period to this (as required in a conservation area) would add an extra layer of admin/difficulty in allowing the already necessary works to go ahead.</p> <p>The writer also lives adjacent to the railway line with a tree boundary along the track, works to the trees are often required due to the proximity to the railway line and to the road to prevent obstruction. Again a waiting period would delay necessary works on a regular basis.</p>	
17	38	Email	Observation	<p>The writer is uncertain about the reasoning behind the expansion beyond the original Norfolk Square proposal as residents in Barttelot Road seem oblivious to their inclusion and for many of them this is the first they have heard of it.</p> <p>Park Terrace West have no idea that a Conservation Area is being proposed, even though it goes right up to their back gardens. Why has this area been excluded as the buildings there are of an age and style as those included.</p> <p>Within Norfolk Square Gundry's House (two houses) on Chichester Road have been excluded from the proposed area. The writer is unsure why as it falls within Norfolk Square.</p>	<p>The inclusion of sites within a conservation area requires robust justification as the area need to be of special historic and architectural interest not just specific buildings. In this instance although the buildings within Park Terrace West were constructed earlier than those within Barttelot Road, and Chichester and Norfolk Terrace the buildings have undergone a greater quantum of change which have lessened the historical quality of the buildings. Local planning authorities need to ensure that the area has sufficient special architectural or historic interest to justify its designation as a conservation area. The judgement was taken that the architectural and historic features within Norfolk Terrace, Norfolk Road, Chichester Terrace and Barttelot Road exhibited a greater consistency and quality of features representing a positive example of Victorian urban expansion.</p> <p>The properties of Gundry House were excluded from the proposed conservation area as the rhythm of development changes on this side of the road.</p> <p>Barttelot Road was identified in the Horsham Blueprint document as being part of a "New Street Character Area", and also in the revised Horsham Society Local List of Buildings and Conservation Areas – draft proposals for inclusion.</p>
18 (Neighbourhood Council)	39	Email	Support	<p>The writer is fully supportive of the proposed area being given Conservation Area status and has the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer believes that this area is under threat from the number of permitted development applications for turning office space into apartments, and also applications for conversions or extensions. This together with the increase in on-street parking is having a detrimental effect on the appearance of the Park Terrace Gardens area and the lifestyle of its residents. The writer hopes that granting 	<p>Noted. As above.</p>

				<p>this area conservation status should give some protection from harmful planning applications in future.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer agrees that it may be worth extending the proposed area to include Park Terrace West which has the unique arches at the entrance to the road and terrace houses which pre-date the houses in the proposed designated area. 	
19	40	Email	Support	<p>The writer very much welcomes these proposals which will protect the character of our neighbourhood, in particular the historical features of the properties and surrounding trees.</p> <p>Norfolk Terrace is a wonderful and safe place to live with a strong community which is only benefited by protecting our surrounding environment.</p> <p>In addition, parking can be difficult with a limited number of spaces and therefore preventing plans for additional large (and out of character) living spaces will help ensure residents continue to be able to park safely, near their homes.</p>	Noted.
20	41	Email	Support	<p>Norfolk Road, Norfolk Terrace, Wellington Road, Chichester Terrace, Barttelot Road, Park Terrace, Park Street and East Street in Horsham all contain many wonderful period properties which should be preserved as examples of special architecture for the period when they were built. They represent an important part of Horsham's heritage. Many people enjoy not just living in these types of properties, but also to see them as part of our surroundings. The writer believes that properties of this period are inherently interesting and charming and so very much add to the overall look and feel of Horsham as a place to live and visit. They give Horsham charm and history and add diversity to the other different examples of architecture from different periods which all also exist.</p> <p>The writer is not adverse to new properties, some of which can also be interesting and add to the overall aesthetics of Horsham, however they need to be appropriate for the area in which they are built. In this designated area there is pressure to squeeze them in amongst period houses or, much worse, that the period properties be demolished in favour of new flats or structures which are being built purely to accommodate the maximum amount of residences and ultimately to make profit for the developer. Surrounding buildings are being converted into numerous flats and extended beyond what is, reasonable, encroaching on space and light and the visual appearance of the area and ultimately detracting from its special character as opposed to enhancing it. Properties of this type cannot be replaced and so it is vital that we preserve them, and the environment in which they exist. The</p>	Noted.

				<p>writer feels strongly that this area needs protection from this type of development as it is not appropriate or suitable and would ultimately erode its overall charm and appeal, as well as potentially reducing the number of period properties in Horsham for no good reason which would be a crying shame. The writer believes that designating the area as a conversation area would help to provide some level of much needed protection and genuinely preserve an important historic part of Horsham and a considerable number of period properties.</p>	
21	42	Email	<p>Objection</p> <p>The writer is aware of the proposal to turn Norfolk Road, Norfolk Terrace, Wellington Road, Chichester Terrace, Barttelot Road, Park Street and a small part of East Street, into a Conservation Area was proposed by some residents. They question whether this was done to prevent the house on the corner of Park Street and Norfolk Road from being demolished and redeveloped? Yet, opposite that building is a block of apartments, still to be completed after several years. Built with HDC Planning Permission?</p> <p>The writer was unaware of Park Terrace or any public gardens in the area, so find the name a bit bewildering. Reference is made to Park Terrace as being in the proposed Conservation Area, ref. first paragraph of letter dated 26.08.2022, your ref. PTGCA. The writer is aware of Park Terrace West and East, but understands from a member of the Heritage Team that the properties in these roads are not of any architectural merit. The writer has seen reference made to Park Terrace West in your blurb. Properties that are in Park Terrace West but back onto Norfolk Terrace, the entrances of which look like back gates with no sight of a garden?</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>It is suggested that the new conservation area would be known as the Park Terrace Gardens Conservation Area, as this is the name used for the land on the 1870/71 OS map.</p>	
21	43	Email	<p>Objection</p> <p>The houses in the proposed conservation area are at a stage where, because of their age, they need repairing and updating. The writer is concerned that impositions with regard to what can and can't be done will impact heavily on the pockets of occupiers in a time of a cost of living crisis. Rather galling when there is such a mixture of styles of windows and doors already installed.</p> <p>The writer is also concerned that people who would like to convert their attic into a living space may be limited as to what they can now do. Galling again as the Council gave permission for others to make large alterations.</p> <p>The report makes reference to brick walls fronting gardens and these should be retained or reinstated. The writer would like to point out that in</p>	<p>Noted - Extensions under permitted development can still be undertaken to properties within the conservation area depending on their size and location. Being within a conservation area does not preclude sensitive extensions where they preserve or enhance the special historic and architectural interest of the locality.</p>	

				<p>Barttelot Road, any brick walls are a new addition as originally the properties had railings. The writer is concerned with regards to parking and making it more pedestrian friendly. The parking as it is at the moment in Barttelot Road was hard fought for. If anything, it should be for residents and their visitors only, anyone else can use the nearby car parks.</p> <p>The writer suggests that, with the very many and very varied changes already made to properties in this area, making it a Conservation Area is totally unnecessary. A Conservation Area will impact on residents just trying to get by, let alone make repairs/alterations to their property to fit their budget.</p>	
22	44	Email	Support	<p>The writer was drawn to this particular area of Horsham because of its special architectural and historical character, not to mention its proximity to local amenities and green spaces.</p> <p>The writer's property still retains the pre 1939 railings and original timber sash windows, both of which have been restored recently. The writer has spent much time and money preserving the historical features of my home both on the inside and out, as feel it their duty to look after it for future generations to enjoy.</p> <p>With other people currently able to make changes to their properties with limited restrictions, with the exception of the few listed ones, the writer fears over time that the environmental quality of the area will be lost.</p> <p>There has also been recent threat of planning applications for extensive development to properties that, had they have been granted, would destroy the character of this special part of Horsham's history. I therefore believe it's important the Council have greater control to protect the future of Park Terrace Gardens.</p> <p>The writer strongly believe there should be an onus on the current and future custodians of properties in this area to sympathetically and respectfully look after them, and request that you please consider granting this application approval.</p>	Noted
23	45	Email	Support	<p>The writer loves living here and appreciates the original features that these houses have. The writer has joined neighbours in protesting against further development: application DC/21/2076 (redevelopment of No 2 Norfolk Rd and 76-78 Park Street) and would like a way to ensure that the character of this area is protected.</p>	Noted

				A petition showed that 90% of residents in this area agreed with a proposal to make the Norfolk Square area a Conservation Area. The writer supports the designation and would be grateful if the next steps could be taken to secure the designation as soon as possible to prevent any further development.	
24	46	Email	Objection	<p>The writer notes that whilst they are supportive of maintaining the character of the area and have done much to improve their own house in a way that's in keeping with the period, the conservation area would present some potential problems for them.</p> <p>The writer has good quality, double glazed, energy efficient, wood grain, traditional looking UPVC sash windows (including run through horns and astragal bars) which fit with the house. Not all the windows were replaced. A conservation area would present problems in this respect as it would appear they would not be allowed. Therefore the writer would have UVPC windows upstairs and be forced to install wooden windows downstairs. Whilst the writer likes wooden windows the upkeep and maintenance from experience is significant, as is the cost to replace with high quality wooden ones, hence the choice of maintenance-free UPVC.</p> <p>In addition, the writer plans in time to extend their property at the rear. Again, they understand a conservation area would require additional planning / cost. Given the current cost of living and interest rates, additional cost is unwelcome.</p> <p>The writer does agree however that restrictions on new developments such as the recent flats development that increase cars in an already overcrowded area, should be tightened.</p>	Noted. Extensions under permitted development can still be undertaken to properties within the conservation area depending on their size and location. Being within a conservation area does not preclude sensitive extensions where they preserve or enhance the special historic and architectural interest of the locality.
25	47	Email	Objection	<p>Conservation Areas are intended to manage and protect the special architectural and historic interest of an area and to contribute to forming a unique sense of place. Designation may afford better control and protect the (conservation) area, the intention and wishes of residents and businesses is understood and appreciated. The writer is of the opinion that inclusion of 72 - 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road is a spurious and unjustified southern leg to the proposed conservation area. If the Park Street frontage here was considered worthy of special protection it would have been included in the Horsham Town Centre Conservation Area. The extent of this is deliberate and well considered given the nature and quality of the buildings included within that red line. There is a clear and distinct transformation of architecture along Park Street, 72 – 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are correctly shown as</p>	Noted – as above.

				<p>Pre 1898 in the Building phases map on page 7. The image on page 11 shows the view towards the east along Park Street adjacent to the junction with Norfolk Road, elaboration on any corner significance is missing and the image is blighted by hoarding (temporary whilst the adjacent offices are converted) and poor quality street furniture and signage. A photo of the commercial properties adjacent to our client's site is shown in one photo on page 13. Norfolk Road is described on page 16 and 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are described as having an attractive form using materials consistent with this part of Horsham Town, the decorative features being good examples of late Victorian architecture although the decorative bargeboards have recently been replaced. This may be so but of itself does not justify inclusion within the proposed Conservation Area. As further described, 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are readily distinguishable from the terraced or semidetached properties in Norfolk Road, likewise the architecture in Norfolk Terrace, Wellington Road and Chichester Terrace.</p>	
25	48	Email	Objection	<p>North Street, Park Street and East Street are evaluated on page 22, the photo included excludes 2 Norfolk Road and whilst there is an appreciation of the narrative of the town's growth along historic routeways there is no mention of special architectural merit or significance of 68 – 78. It is interesting to note the photo included does not even attempt to show the existing corner situation or wider context. It is important to illustrate negative contributions to local character as well as positive and representations of this should be balanced. The photo on page 28 does provide context viewing from Norfolk Road toward Park Street with the building line of 2 Park Street only readily distinguishable due to a change in the street façade material from render to brick. The buildings on our client's site and his immediate neighbours do not contribute to the special interest that the remainder of the proposed conservation could be afforded.</p>	Noted – as above.
25	49	Email	Objection	<p>Development Control is sufficiently robust to ensure good quality development can be achieved. The wording in Part II: Management Plan states on page 37 that demolition of buildings or built features which have been identified as making a neutral or positive contribution to local character will normally not be permitted. There is no elaboration on this in the following text but the writer assumes that if the criteria is met, as described under the heading Control of development on page 29, then demolition could be appropriate i.e. if the development proposals preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the (conservation) area.</p>	Noted – as above in addition the designation of a conservation area requires that special attention is paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area.
25	50	Email	Objection	<p>Energy, whole life carbon and circularity must be considered. Climate resilience and the ability to upgrade are key points under section 2.3</p>	Noted – any proposals within a conservation area would require special attention to be paid to the desirability of preserving or

				<p>Retrofit. The loss of some low grade, volume built, Victorian buildings is inevitable as the benefits of new built form can give whole life carbon benefits and fit the wider carbon zero targets set by government. Redevelopments can of course provide additional benefits. Protection of existing buildings within any environment must be considered and tested against policies in an inclusive and wide reaching context, locally, nationally and globally. Inclusion of our client's site within the proposed Conservation Area will bring no meaningful benefits and it is therefore an incorrect approach to include it.</p>	<p>enhancing the character or appearance of that area. Paragraph 206 and 207 of the NPPF note that: "206. Local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites, and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably. 207. Not all elements of a Conservation Area or World Heritage Site will necessarily contribute to its significance. Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 201 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 202, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site as a whole."</p>
25	51	Email	Objection	Concern property owners not informed directly.	Letters were sent to all postal addresses within the proposed conservation area and within 20 metres of its boundary. A notice was also placed in the West Sussex County Times.
26	52	Email	Objection	Properties mentioned within the report are of poor quality and have very little character remaining as a result of dilapidation over the years and they continue to be of poor quality despite best efforts to maintain them. How such poor housing stock can be considered of specific merit to conserve the writer is unsure.	<p>Noted – any proposals within a conservation area would require special attention to be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area. Paragraph 206 and 207 of the NPPF note that: "206. Local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites, and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably. 207. Not all elements of a Conservation Area or World Heritage Site will necessarily contribute to its significance. Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 201 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 202, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site as a whole."</p>

27	53	Email	Support	Having reviewed the draft appraisal and management plan, the writer is in favour of this new conservation area proceeding, in order to help maintain the character of our local neighborhood.	Noted
28	54	Email	Support	It is important to maintain the integrity of areas such as this for historical reasons. The writer has recently been subjected to several developments on our doorstep one of which was the application to knock two perfectly sound houses down to try and build a block of flats. Most of the residents in the surrounding streets are in favour of a conservation area supported by a survey carried out recently.	Noted
29	55	Email	Support	Horsham Society were extremely pleased to note that the proposed Conservation Area of Norfolk Terrace, Norfolk Road, Barttelot Road etc., has been granted technical approval. The Society are carrying out survey works in preparation of a Local List, and we consider that this area contains a number of examples of houses of historical interest. We whole heartedly support these proposals and trust that final approval will be granted.	Noted
30	56	Email	Objection	It is the writers understanding that Conservation Areas are intended to manage and protect the special architectural and historic interest of an area and to contribute to forming a unique sense of place. However, it is the writers opinion that inclusion of 72 - 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road is at odds with this aim. There is a clear and distinct transformation of architecture along Park Street. 72 – 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are correctly shown as Pre 1898 in the Building phases map on page 7. The image on page 11 shows the view towards the east along Park Street adjacent to the junction with Norfolk Road, however elaboration on any corner significance is missing and the image is disrupted by temporary hoarding (whilst the adjacent offices are converted) and poor-quality street furniture and signage. A photo of the commercial properties adjacent to our properties is shown on page 13 without any reference to its distinct lack of character. Norfolk Road is described on page 16 where both 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are described as 'The decorative features of 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are good examples of late Victorian architecture although the decorative bargeboards have been recently replaced.' However, this information does not justify inclusion within the proposed Conservation Area. As further described, 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are readily distinguishable from the terraced or semi-detached properties in Norfolk Road, likewise the architecture in Norfolk Terrace, Wellington Road and Chichester Terrace. North Street, Park Street and East Street are evaluated on page 22, the photo included excludes 2 Norfolk Road and whilst there is an	Noted – as above a building audit has also been added to the appraisal showing positive, negative and neutral buildings.

				<p>appreciation of the narrative of the town's growth along historic routeways there is no mention of special architectural merit or significance of 68 – 78 Park Street. It is interesting to note the photo included does not even attempt to show the existing corner situation or wider context.</p> <p>It is important to illustrate negative contributions to local character as well as positive within the draft Plan, and representations of this should be balanced. The photo on page 28 does provide context viewing from Norfolk Road toward Park Street with the building line of 2 Norfolk Road only readily distinguishable due to a change in the street façade material from render to brick. 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road and the immediate neighbours do not contribute to the special interest that the remainder of the proposed conservation could be afforded and are in fact of poor quality, despite attempts to keep them in good order, when compared to the other notable character features of Norfolk Terrace, Wellington Road and Chichester Terrace. Development Control is sufficiently robust to ensure good quality development can be achieved. There is no risk to the council in removing 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road from the proposed conservation area as the character will not be eroded by unintended loss or change, and the appearance would not change in a negative way - in fact, the position is quite the opposite.</p> <p>The wording in Part II: Management Plan states on page 37 that demolition of buildings or built features which have been identified as making a neutral or positive contribution to local character will normally not be permitted. There is no elaboration on this in the following text, but we would assume that if the criteria is met, as described under the heading Control of development on page 29, then demolition could be appropriate i.e. if the development proposals preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the (conservation) area.</p>	
30	57	Email	Objection	<p>The EPC ratings of properties within the proposed conservation area are low due to low grade, volume built, Victorian buildings that they are, whereas newly built homes in keeping with the local character would offer whole life carbon benefits and fit the wider carbon zero targets set by government compared to the poor energy efficiency of the properties as they stand now.</p>	<p>Noted – any proposals within a conservation area would require special attention to be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area. Paragraph 206 and 207 of the NPPF note that:</p> <p>“206. Local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites, and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably.</p> <p>207. Not all elements of a Conservation Area or World Heritage Site will necessarily contribute to its significance. Loss of a</p>

					building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 201 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 202, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site as a whole.”
31	58	Email	Objection	<p>Conservation Areas are intended to manage and protect the special architectural and historic interest of an area and to contribute to forming a unique sense of place. Designation may afford better control and protect the (conservation) area, the intention and wishes of residents and businesses is understood and appreciated. The writer is of the opinion that inclusion of 72 - 78 Park Street, 2 Norfolk Road, and the commercial properties to the south along Park Street (including Enterprise rent-a-car) is a spurious and unjustified inclusion in the proposed conservation area. The Park Street frontage here is not of particular architectural merit and could benefit from improvement. The writer does not consider that the Park Street frontage is worthy of special protection.</p> <p>There is a clear and distinct transformation of architecture along Park Street, 72 – 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road. are correctly shown as Pre 1898 in the Building phases map on page 7. The image on page 11 shows the view towards the east along Park Street adjacent to the junction with Norfolk Road, elaboration on any corner significance is missing and the image is blighted by hoarding (temporary whilst the adjacent offices are converted) and poor quality street furniture and signage.</p> <p>Norfolk Road is described on page 16 and 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are described as having an attractive form using materials consistent with this part of Horsham Town, the decorative features being good examples of late Victorian architecture although the decorative bargeboards have recently been replaced. This may be so but of itself does not justify inclusion within the proposed Conservation Area. As further described, 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road are readily distinguishable from the terraced or semidetached properties in Norfolk Road, likewise the architecture in Norfolk Terrace, Wellington Road and Chichester Terrace.</p> <p>North Street, Park Street and East Street are evaluated on page 22, the photo included excludes 2 Norfolk Road and whilst there is an appreciation of the narrative of the town’s growth along historic routeways there is no mention of special architectural merit or significance of 68 – 78. It is interesting to note the photo included does not even attempt to show the existing corner situation or wider context. It</p>	Noted – as above.

				is important to illustrate negative contributions to local character as well as positive and representations of this should be balanced. The photo on page 28 does provide context viewing from Norfolk Road toward Park Street with the building line of 2 Park Street only readily distinguishable due to a change in the street façade material from render to brick. 72 - 78 Park Street, 2 Norfolk Road do not contribute to the special interest that the remainder of the proposed conservation could be afforded.	
31	59	Email	Objection	<p>Development Control is sufficiently robust to ensure good quality development can be achieved. There is no risk to the council in removing 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road from the proposed conservation area as the character will not be eroded by unintended loss or change and the appearance would not change in a negative way, if fact the position is quite the opposite.</p> <p>The wording in Part II: Management Plan states on page 37 that demolition of buildings or built features which have been identified as making a neutral or positive contribution to local character will normally not be permitted. There is no elaboration on this in the following text but the writer assumes that if the criteria is met, as described under the heading Control of development on page 29, then demolition could be appropriate i.e. if the development proposals preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the (conservation) area.</p>	<p>Noted – as above.</p> <p>The draft Conservation Area Appraisal identified designated and non-designated heritage assets. A plan identifying those buildings which positively or negatively contribute to the Conservation Area will now be included. This change was made as it was agreed that the inclusion of non-designated 'positive' and 'negative' buildings, in addition to those that have been designated, would help better understand the quality of the built environment within the conservation area. This would inform opportunities to improve, and build upon, the character, heritage and setting of the Conservation Area by future development, in line with the NPPF's stance in Paragraph 185 that plans should set out positive strategies to the conservation and enjoyment of the built environment through new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness.</p>
31		Email	Objection	<p>Energy, whole life carbon and circularity must be considered. Climate resilience and the ability to upgrade are key points under section Retrofit. Assessments are made to legitimately justify the demolition of buildings that are outdated and have poor fabric performance. The loss of some low grade, volume built, Victorian buildings is inevitable as the benefits of new built form can give whole life carbon benefits and fit the wider carbon zero targets set by government. Redevelopments can of course provide additional benefits.</p> <p>Protection of existing buildings within any environment must be considered and tested against policies in an inclusive and wide reaching context, locally, nationally and globally. Inclusion of 78 Park Street and 2 Norfolk Road within the proposed Conservation Area will bring no meaningful benefits and it is therefore an incorrect approach to include it.</p>	<p>Noted – as above. All applications for planning permission and associated demolition are considered on their individual merits. If the proposal were to be accepted as a conservation area, special attention would be required to be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area.</p>

Additional comments received following the end of the consultation period.

Consultee Ref	Comment Number	Type of Response	Nature of Response	Comment	Officer View and Recommendations
32	61	Email	Objection	The writer is a resident in the local area, works locally and firmly believes there is nothing to be gained through the conversation of an already very developed area. The writer finds is farcical in a time when people are tightening their belts to afford food that you would even attempt to push through restrictions that have enormous financial consequences on people's minor maintenance.	Noted.
33	62	Email	Objection	The writer would like to express their disagreement with the proposed Park Terrace West Conservation Area. At minimum if it goes ahead the writer would like to exclude Park Street as they believe it doesn't add value to the conservation area and will affect future restrictions on small businesses & its employees that line those streets.	Noted – see above comments regarding Park Street.
34	63	Email including letter	Objection	The section of properties in Park Street should be omitted from the proposed conservation area as they simply add no value.	Noted – see above comments regarding Park Street.
35	64	Email	Objection	As a long-time resident of Wellington Road the writer is writing to object to the proposed conservation area. The writer notes that this is the first time they have heard of this proposal & it makes no sense to them. if you take things to their logical conclusion the houses when built had slate roofs) & outside toilets, no electricity & other modern facilities. Most of the houses now have tiled roofs. do you propose these should be changed as well if required? In the current economic climate how can you justify telling people who need to change what are perfectly acceptable UPVC doors & windows back to wooden ones at about 4 times the cost.	Noted – the conservation area designation does not require work to be undertaken retrospectively (such as removing roof covering etc). The designation would encourage occupiers to conserve, preserve and if possible, enhance the special historic and architectural features of their properties.
36	65	Email	Objection	The writer does not agree with the proposed Park Terrace West Conservation area, and in particular if it goes ahead would specifically like to exclude Park Street as the writer does not believe it adds value to the conservation area.	Noted – see above comments regarding Park Street.
37	66	Email	Objection	The writer is objecting to the proposal of creating Park Terrace East Conservation area. The writer has been resident in Chichester Terrace for 23 years and therefore feel their voice should be heard. As such long standing residents, they want to continue to exercise the freedom of choice they have to make their own choices with regards to the development of their property - they do not want the restrictions put upon them that a conservation area carries such as the choice of	Noted – see comments above.

				<p>building materials used, where they site their satellite dish, the type of windows they choose, whether they can extend or not etc.</p> <p>The writer challenges whether this area actually constitutes as being a conservation area. There are many Victorian properties throughout Horsham and indeed across the country. Being built within the Victorian era surely isn't enough to warrant becoming a conservation area - if it were, there would be so many conservation areas it would in fact devalue the true status of a conservation area.</p> <p>The proposal for Park Terrace East Conservation area stems from several individuals residing within the area who have a strong desire to reduce developers from building within the area and putting further pressure on parking. This is in fact a concern shared by many of the residents – the writer included. However, in the writers opinion becoming a Conservation area is not the solution to this problem as it causes inconveniences to home owners. Instead development proposals need careful consideration and challenge by local authorities, recognising how built up this area already is and the parking problems already encountered by residents.</p>	
38	67	Email	Objection	<p>The writer purchased their property 20 years ago. The writer wouldn't have purchased this house if they had know that there would be an attempt to make it a conservation area.</p> <p>The writer do try to retain and upkeep their property in a good manner. The writer feels this listing is going to make what they do and how they do it a lot more difficult to achieve.</p>	Noted – however the constraints relating to a listed building differ from those in a conservation area.
39	68	Email	Objection	<p>When the conservation area was first mentioned to the writer, some of the details were not shared. These neighbours already have roof extensions, and other changes made to their homes, which would be banned for others in the area, if the Conservation Area were to go ahead.</p> <p>The writer therefore removes their support for the scheme and do not wish to have their house included.</p>	Noted – see comments above.
40	69	Email	Comment	<p>The writer received a leaflet with the above title listing a number of apparently serious financial penalties should the writer choose to become a part of the 'Park Terrace East Conservation Area' (sic). The writer dropped in on the meeting a couple of weeks ago as listeners and not participants but now perhaps it is time for them to make some contribution.</p>	Noted – the conservation area designation does not require work to be undertaken retrospectively (such as removing roof covering etc). The designation would encourage occupiers to conserve, preserve and if possible, enhance the special historic and architectural features of their properties.

			<p>The writer chose to purchase their property because it, and the others in the row, are a little larger than most terraced or semi-detached Victorian houses that were viewed in Horsham. The writer also like the fact that this factor had perhaps made further development unnecessary and in fact no houses in the row seemed to have had extra dormers, Mansards or Velux-type windows added to their frontage.</p> <p>Previous owners had made plenty of changes over the decades since the property was built the most obvious two visible from the street being the removal of chimneys below the roof-line and the installation of uPVC windows with no attempt to match the original style (which the writer have replaced to wooden-framed, box-sash, double-glazed, Victorian style units). The writer is currently looking for a way to treat and protect the masonry supporting the bay window and the decorative lintels on the upper floor.</p> <p>The writer has been prepared to invest in the property because they want to live in a house that looks well with retention of as many period features as possible but also modern fixtures and fittings internally and to the rear.</p> <p>Clearly appearance is important aesthetically but the most interesting architectural details are often not visible. The writers house was built with cavity walls and an attic room (original as evidenced by the original hearth for a fire).</p> <p>In short the writer has pride in their property. The writer bought it because they wanted to some extent to 'conserve' it in the sense of to protect it for others to enjoy in the future. From what the writer has heard so far the anonymous leaflet does not make the writer 'anti'. If the Council's plan was for a 'restoration area' where people were obliged at huge cost to return the properties to their most original condition the writer would not be in favour: if the plan is for a Horsham street with very little loss of original character to lose no more then, the writer is in favour.</p> <p>Finally if the Council wants areas with minimum alteration perhaps they should negotiate with the builders of the current crops of 'new homes'. On these estates there is often an aesthetic led by various 'artist's impressions' of the development (down to the tree and shrub planting) and a condition of occupancy that alterations are not made to the front aspect (at least while the estate is still being developed and there</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

				remain homes, and a dream, to be sold...). If the Council designated a conservation area in a new build estate it might make an interesting time capsule!	
41	70	Letter	Objection	The writer notes that preserving the best examples of Horsham's architectural history is worthwhile, but conservating and expensively maintaining acres of bricks and mortar is over illuminating the importance of this area. As an owner of a property in Park Street the writer is puzzled how ravaged as it is by commerce it qualifies for conservation while the countryside of consumed by reckless building.	Noted – see comments above regarding Park Street.